



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/693,365	10/24/2003	Keith G. Lintott	10451.0051.NPUS01	3116	
27194 75	590 07/27/2004		EXAM	INER	
HOWREY SI	HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP			HWU, JUNE	
C/O M.P. DROSOS, DIRECTOR OF IP ADMINISTRATION 2941 FAIRVIEW PK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOX 7			1661		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042			DATE MARIED, 07/27/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-
8

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/693,365	LINTOTT, KEITH G.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
June Hwu	1661	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communities of the particle of the period of the properties of the prope	n to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). nication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 June 2004</u>. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-1 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> 	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from considents of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from considents of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requ	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be here. Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note that	eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). f the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been re 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been re 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified	eceived. eceived in Application No s have been received in this National Stage 7.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/1/04.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: Rule 105 requirement.

Art Unit: 1661

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment and declaration filed June 1, 2004 have been acknowledged.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office action.

Requirement for Information follows regarding specifics of a potential offer for sale.

Rule 105 Requirements for Information

An issue of public use or on sale activity has been raised in this application. Applicant should give evidence why the Garden Mum Catalog distributed on October 17, 2001 was not an offer for sale. Applicant should also indicate whether the claimed plant was ready for patenting, more than one year prior to the effective filing date of this instant application (see MPEP 2133.03(c) I). The MPEP 2133.03 states:

The Invention Must Be "Ready for Patenting" at the Time of the Sale In Pfaff v. Wells Elecs., Inc., 525 U.S. 55, 66-68, 119 S.Ct. 304, 311-12, 48 USPQ2d 1641, 1647 (1998), the Supreme Court enunciated a two-prong test for determining whether an invention was "on sale" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) even if it has not yet been reduced to practice. "[T]he onsale bar applies when two conditions are satisfied before the critical date [more than one year before the effective filing date of the U.S. application]. First, the product must be the subject of a commercial offer for sale.... Second, the invention must be ready for patenting." Id. at 67, 119 S.Ct. at 311-12, 48 USPQ2d at 1646-47. "Ready for patenting," the second prong of the Pfaff test, "may be satisfied in at least two ways: by proof of reduction to practice before the critical date; or by proof that prior to the critical date the inventor had prepared drawings or other descriptions of the invention that were sufficiently specific to enable a person skilled in the art to practice the invention." Id. at 67, 199 S.Ct. at 311-12, 48 USPQ2d at 1647 (The patent was held invalid because the invention for a computer chip socket was "ready for patenting" when it was offered for sale more than one year prior to the application filing date. Even though the invention had not yet been reduced to practice, the manufacturer was able to produce the claimed computer chip sockets using the inventor's detailed drawings and specifications, and those sockets contained all elements of invention claimed in the patent.). See also Weatherchem Corp. v. J.L. Clark Inc., 163 F.3d 1326, 1333, 49 USPQ2d 1001, 1006-07 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (The invention was held "ready for patenting" since the detailed drawings of plastic dispensing caps offered for sale "contained each limitation of the claims and were sufficiently specific to enable person skilled in art to practice the invention".). If the invention was actually reduced to practice before being sold or offered for sale more than 1 year before filing of the application, a patent will be barred.

In addition, Applicant should clearly and in depth set forth how this catalog listing is merely an advertisement (page 2 of IDS filed on June 1, 2004) especially since a call to Royal Van Zanten

Art Unit: 1661

or Ball Seed Company would reveal pricing information of the plant (Gardenmum Catalog 2002, page 16).

In order for the examiner to properly consider patentability of the claimed invention under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), additional information regarding this issue is required as follows:

- 1. The relationship between Royal Van Zanten and Ball Seed Company. It is not clear whether Royal Van Zanten controls Ball Seed Company. In addition, it is uncertain if Ball Seed Company buys or merely distributes the claimed invention.
- 2. It appears the catalog constitutes an offer for sale to Ball Seed Company because the catalog was presented at a conference on October 17, 2001 (disclosed in the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed June 1, 2004, page 2 and the Hesse declaration, page 1). Also, Applicant states that the catalogs did not include any pricing information and if that information is needed that the potential customers may contact Ball Seed or Royal Van Zanten for prices (page 3 of IDS, page 2 of the Hesse declaration and Gardenmum Catalog 2002, page 16).
- 3. It is uncertain if the sales representatives of Ball Seed Company at the conference in Chicago on October 17, 2001 were able to place orders of the claimed plant more than one year prior to the effective filing date of the instant application.
- 4. In the IDS on page 2 and the Hesse declaration on page 1, they state that Royal Van Zanten sold its varieties to "select few customers directly". It is unclear if the claimed plant was for sale more than one year prior to the effective filing date of this instant application.
- 5. It is unclear if the catalog represented and offers for sale. It is also unclear whether any order for the plant were received prior to October 24, 2001 and whether any acceptance of any offer was made.

Art Unit: 1661

This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR 1.105. A complete reply to this Office action must include a complete response to the attached requirement for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.

In order to consider whether a 102(b) rejection should be applied, the Examiner is requesting information pertaining to the claimed cultivar.

Applicant is reminded that failure to fully reply to this requirement for information will result in a holding of abandonment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 1 remains rejected under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs because the claim is drawn to the plant as shown and illustrated. There is no photograph of 'Dove Bronze'.

Drawing

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.165(a) because the present photograph in this application is a photograph of copending application serial number 10/693,364, *Chrysanthemum* 'Nighthawk Yellow'. A replacement photograph is required.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Future Correspondence

Art Unit: 1661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to June Hwu whose telephone number is (571) 272-0977. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NNE MARIE GRUNBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 1661

REQUIREMENT FOR INFORMATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.105

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the

following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the

examination of this application.

The information is required to clearly explain if the claimed plant was offered for sale prior to the

effective filing date of the instant application.

The fee and certification requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 are waived for those documents

submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the

scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 that are included in the applicant's first complete

communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the

first communication responding to this requirement and any information disclosures beyond the

scope of this requirement under 37 CFR 1.105 are subject to the fee and certification

requirements of 37 CFR 1.97.

The applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good

faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where the applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of

required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be

accepted as a complete response to the requirement for that item.

This requirement is an attachment of the enclosed Office action. A complete reply to the

enclosed Office action must include a complete response to this requirement. The time period

Art Unit: 1661

for reply to this requirement coincides with the time period for reply to the enclosed Office action, which is 3 months.

ANNE MARIE GRUNBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER